

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/753,954	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ITO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

(2) Mr. David Kurlandsky.

**Date of Interview:** 24 March 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 10  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to request deletion of certain diseases from claim 10. Mr. Kurlandsky agreed to have these cahnges done by examiner's amendment without prejudice.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)